Student Satisfaction Questionnaires

Q.No.	Question
1	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?
2	How well were the teachers able to communicate?
3	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as
4	How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?
5	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?
6	Expression process by the teachers
7	The teaching and mentoring process in your institution racintates your englished social and emotional growth
8	The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grown
9	when the same pagessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.
10	Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, coarse
11	The institute takes active interest in promoting internsing, stadewist apportunities for students.
12	The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications
13	The same ship to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them
14	The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing region
15	The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiented learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing
16	The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and the institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and the institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and the institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and the institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and the institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and the institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and the institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring process.
17	employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.
18	Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities
19	The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.
20	What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching
21	Any other suggestion



Principal,
Dr. Daulatrao Aher College of Engineering, Karad

Student Satisfaction Survey Report

																tion with the street,			0 40	0 20
Grading	Q.1	Q.2	Q.3						0.0	Q.10	Q.11	Q.12	Q.13	Q.14	Q.15	Q.16	Q.17	Q.18	Q.19	Q.20
	THE PARTY NAMES OF	Q.Z	Q.3	Q.4	Q.5	Q.6	Q.7	Q.8	Q.9	Q.10	Consumer and the second	White watered	A CONTRACTOR OF STREET	238	209	218	211	189	221	318
4	175	235	159	174	239	235	171	164	189	248	218	247	219	238	203					
											-	122	160	161	191	195	207	214	193	134
3	175	145	179	235	143	161	177	206	155	141	126	138	168	101	131	133				
	100											- 66	144	54	37	35	38	41	37	11
2	109	71	106	52	64	58	102	79	95	59	96	66	44	34	3,					
	-										10	11	24	11	25	15	10	16	11	0
1	/	11	15	8	16	7	13	12	19	11	19	11	24	11	23					
1	-		12					10	12	12	12	9	16	7	9	7	5	11	9	8
0	٥	9	12	2	9	10	8	10	13	12	12) 3	10	1						
Total	100 Table			1002 to 100 cm	Light VIII Service	N AND TO SERVICE			dala <u>Ta</u> ntes			471	471	471	471	470	471	471	471	471
Students	471	471	471	471	471	471	471	471	471	471	471	471	4/1	7/1		1,0		100		
Judents			1000									\$ 1.00 M		P ARTIS CARL		The State of the S			G R NOT THE REAL PROPERTY.	

Grading	Q.1	Q.2	Q.3	Q.4	Q.5	Q.6	Q.7	Q.8	Q.9	Q.10	Q.11	Q.12	Q.13	Q.14	Q.15	Q.16	Q.17	Q.18	Q.19	Q.20
4	37.15	49.89	33.76	36.94	50.74	49.89	36.31	34.82	40.13	52.65	46.28	52.44	46.50	50.53	44.37	46.38	44.80	40.13	46.92	67.52
3	37.15	30.79	38.00	49.89	30.36	34.18	37.58	43.74	32.91	29.94	26.75	29.30	35.67	34.18	40.55	41.49	43.95	45.44	40.98	28.45
2	23.14	15.07	22.51	11.04	13.59	12.31	21.66	16.77	20.17	12.53	20.38	14.01	9.34	11.46	7.86	7.45	8.07	8.70	7.86	2.34
1	1.49	2.34	3.18	1.70	3.40	1.49	2.76	2.55	4.03	2.34	4.03	2.34	5.10	2.34	5.31	3.19	2.12	3.40	2.34	0.00
0	1.06	1.91	2.55	0.42	1.91	2.12	1.70	2.12	2.76	2.55	2.55	1.91	3.40	1.49	1.91	1.49	1.06	2.34	1.91	1.70



4 - Excellent

3 - Very Good

2 - Good

1 - Satisfactory

Principal,

Dr. Daulatrao Aher College of Engineering, k

